

Cuspidalisations in Anabelian Geometry

Week 7: Applications of Chains

Yu Mao

2025

What has been done

- Various group-theoretic properties arise from anabelian geometry.
- Group-theoretic characterisations of decomposition groups.
- The theory of \tilde{X}/X -chains and Π -chains.

Definition 1

We define the following sets:

- \mathbb{V} is a set of isomorphism classes of algebraic stacks.
- \mathbb{F} is a set of isomorphism classes of fields.
- \mathbb{S} is a set of non-empty subsets of prime numbers.

We write $\mathbb{D} \subset \mathbb{V} \times \mathbb{F} \times \mathbb{S}$ for a subset of 3-tuples. We say that \mathbb{D} is **chain-full** if every extension of GSAFG-type

$$1 \rightarrow \Delta \rightarrow \Pi \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1$$

for some slim G , that admits a base-prime partial construction data (X, k, Σ) such that $([X], [k], \Sigma) \in \mathbb{D}$, it holds that $([X_i], [k_i], \Sigma_i) \in \mathbb{D}$ for any X_i appeared as a member of an object in $\text{Chain}(\tilde{X}/X)$.

Definition 2

Let \mathbb{D} be a set as in Definition 1 such that \mathbb{D} is chain-full. We say that **rel-isom- \mathbb{D} GC holds** if the followings hold: for $i \in \{1, 2\}$ and extensions of GSAFG-type

$$1 \rightarrow \Delta_i \rightarrow \Pi_i \rightarrow G_i \rightarrow 1$$

in \mathbb{D} (in the sense of Definition 1), such that

$$\text{Isom}_{k_1, k_2}(X_1, X_2) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Isom}_{G_1, G_2}(\Pi_1, \Pi_2) / \text{Inn}(\Delta_2)$$

is bijective.

Definition 3

Let \mathbb{D} be a set as in Definition 1 such that \mathbb{D} is chain-full. We say that **rel-hom- \mathbb{D} GC holds** if the followings hold: for $i \in \{1, 2\}$ and extensions of GSAFG-type

$$1 \rightarrow \Delta_i \rightarrow \Pi_i \rightarrow G_i \rightarrow 1$$

in \mathbb{D} , such that

$$\text{Hom}_{k_1, k_2}^{\text{dom}}(X_1, X_2) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{G_1, G_2}^{\text{open}}(\Pi_1, \Pi_2) / \text{Inn}(\Delta_2)$$

is bijective.

The Main Theorem

Let \mathbb{D} be a chain-full set such that rel-isom- \mathbb{D} GC holds true. For $i \in \{1, 2\}$, and let G_i be a slim profinite group. Moreover, let

$$1 \rightarrow \Delta_i \rightarrow \Pi_i \rightarrow G_i \rightarrow 1$$

be an extension of GSAFG-type that admits base-prime partial construction data $(k_i, \tilde{k}_i, X_i, \Sigma_i)$ such that $([X_i], [k_i], \Sigma_i) \in \mathbb{D}$, and $\alpha_i : \pi_1^{\text{tame}}(X_i) \twoheadrightarrow \Pi_i$ is a scheme-theoretic envelope. Furthermore, assume that the following two conditions hold:

- (a) If either X_1 or X_2 is a hyperbolic orbicurve, then both X_1 and X_2 are hyperbolic orbicurves.
- (b) If either X_1 or X_2 is non-proper, then there exists a prime number $\ell \in \Sigma_1 \cap \Sigma_2$ such that for $i = 1, 2$, the cyclotomic character $G_i \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_\ell^\times$ has open image.

Theorem 4

Let $\phi : \Pi_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} \Pi_2$ be an isomorphism of profinite groups such that ϕ restricts to an isomorphism $\phi_\Delta : \Delta_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} \Delta_2$, hence ϕ also induces an isomorphism $\phi_G : G_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} G_2$. Then

(i) The natural functors $\text{Chain}(\tilde{X}_i/X_i) \rightarrow \text{Chain}(\Pi_i)$; $\text{Chain}^{\text{iso-trm}}(\tilde{X}_i/X_i) \rightarrow \text{Chain}^{\text{iso-trm}}(\Pi_i)$; $\text{ÉtLoc}(\tilde{X}_i/X_i) \rightarrow \text{ÉtLoc}(\Pi_i)$ are equivalences of categories that respect type-chains.

(ii) The isomorphism ϕ induces equivalences of categories: $\text{Chain}(\Pi_1) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Chain}(\Pi_2)$, $\text{Chain}^{\text{iso-trm}}(\Pi_1) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Chain}^{\text{iso-trm}}(\Pi_2)$ and $\text{ÉtLoc}(\Pi_1) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{ÉtLoc}(\Pi_2)$. In particular, these equivalences respect type-chains and are functorial in ϕ .

Theorem 4 (continued)

(iii) Assume in addition, that $\text{rel-hom-}\mathbb{D}\text{GC}$ holds true, and that for $i \in \{1, 2\}$, X_i is a hyperbolic orbicurve. Then the natural functors

$$\text{Chain}^{\text{trm}}(\tilde{X}_i/X_i) \rightarrow \text{Chain}^{\text{trm}}(\Pi_i) ; \text{DLoc}(\tilde{X}_i/X_i) \rightarrow \text{DLoc}(\Pi_i)$$

are equivalences of categories that respect type-chains.

(iv) Suppose that we are in the situation of assertion (iii), then the isomorphism ϕ induces equivalence of categories

$$\text{Chain}^{\text{trm}}(\Pi_1) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Chain}(\Pi_2) ; \text{DLoc}(\Pi_1) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{DLoc}(\Pi_2)$$

that respect type-chains and functorial in ϕ .

Example 5

Let p be a prime number, $\mathbb{S} \subset \mathfrak{Primes}$ be a set containing p . Let \mathbb{V} be the isomorphism classes of hyperbolic orbicurves over fields with cardinality \leq the cardinality of \mathbb{Q}_p .

(i) Let \mathbb{F} be the set of isomorphism classes of generalised sub- p -adic fields (i.e. subfields of finitely generated extensions over $(\mathbb{Q}_p^{\text{unr}})^\wedge$). Let $\mathbb{D} := \mathbb{V} \times \mathbb{F} \times \mathbb{S}$. Then Theorem 4 (i)

(ii) holds unconditionally in this case, i.e. \mathbb{D} is chain-full and rel-isom- \mathbb{D} GC holds.

(ii) Let \mathbb{F} be the set of isomorphism classes of sub- p -adic fields (i.e. subfields of finitely generated extensions over \mathbb{Q}_p) and let $\mathbb{D} := \mathbb{V} \times \mathbb{F} \times \mathbb{S}$. Then \mathbb{D} is chain-full and rel-hom- \mathbb{D} GC holds. In particular, Theorem 4 (iii) and (iv) holds true unconditionally.

Definition 6

Let k be a field of characteristic 0. We say a k -scheme X is a hyperbolically fibered surface if there exists some hyperbolic curve X_0/k such that $X \rightarrow X_0$ admits the structure of a family of hyperbolic curves.

Definition 7

Let k be a field of characteristic 0. We say a generically scheme-like smooth geometrically connected algebraic stack X over k is an iso-poly-hyperbolic orbisurface if X admits a finite étale cover which is a hyperbolically fibered surface over some finite extension k'/k .

Example 8

Let p be a prime number, $\mathbb{S} := \mathfrak{Primes}$. Let \mathbb{F} be the set of isomorphism classes of sub- p -adic fields and let \mathbb{V} be the set of isomorphism classes of iso-poly-hyperbolic orbisurfaces over sub- p -adic fields. Let $\mathbb{D} := \mathbb{V} \times \mathbb{F} \times \mathbb{S}$. Then Theorem 4 (i),(ii) holds unconditionally.

Proof of (i)

Consider the natural functor

$$\mathcal{F} : \text{Chain}(\tilde{X}_i/X_i) \rightarrow \text{Chain}(\Pi_i)$$

$$X_i \mapsto \Pi_i.$$

To verify that this functor is an equivalence of categories, it suffices to verify that this natural functor respect elementary operations $\rightsquigarrow \in \{\wedge, \Upsilon, \bullet, \odot\}$, i.e.

$\rightsquigarrow (X_i, X_{i+1}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \rightsquigarrow (\Pi_i, \Pi_{i+1})$ is bijective.

Proof of (i)

Case 1: $\rightsquigarrow = \lambda$. The injectivity of the natural map

$$\lambda(X_i, X_{i+1}) \rightarrow \lambda(\Pi_i, \Pi_{i+1})$$

is clear. The surjectivity of this natural map follows from the definition of $\Pi_{(-)}$.

Case 2: $\rightsquigarrow = \Upsilon$. The injectivity of the natural map

$$\Upsilon(X_i, X_{i+1}) \rightarrow \Upsilon(\Pi_i, \Pi_{i+1})$$

is clear. The surjectivity of this natural map follows from the assumption that rel-isom- $\mathbb{D}GC$ holds true.

Proof of Theorem 4

Proof of (i)

Case 3: $\rightsquigarrow = \bullet$. The injectivity of the natural map

$$\bullet(X_i, X_{i+1}) \rightarrow \bullet(\Pi_i, \Pi_{i+1})$$

is clear. Now let $\text{pr}_i : \Pi_i \rightarrow \Pi_{i+1}$ be the surjective morphism determined by \bullet . Then it follows from Section 2 of Zhongpeng's 2nd talk (c.f. Week 5), that pr_i must arise uniquely from an open immersion $X_i \hookrightarrow X_{i+1}$.

Case 4: $\rightsquigarrow = \odot$. The injectivity of the natural map

$$\odot(X_i, X_{i+1}) \rightarrow \odot(\Pi_i, \Pi_{i+1})$$

is clear. Let $\text{pr}_i : \Pi_i \rightarrow \Pi_{i+1}$ be the surjective morphism determined by \odot . It follows from Section 2 of Zhongpeng's 2nd talk, that pr_i must arise uniquely from a partial coarsification morphism $X_i \rightarrow X_{i+1}$.

Proof of (ii)

Consider the isomorphism $\phi : \Pi_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} \Pi_2$, clearly it induces a natural functor

$$\mathcal{G}_\phi : \text{Chain}(\Pi_1) \rightarrow \text{Chain}(\Pi_2)$$

$$(\Pi_1)_j \mapsto (\phi(\Pi_1))_j.$$

To prove that \mathcal{G}_ϕ is an equivalence, it suffices to verify that \mathcal{G}_ϕ respect elementary operations $\rightsquigarrow \in \{\lambda, \gamma, \bullet, \odot\}$, i.e. $\rightsquigarrow((\Pi_1)_j, (\Pi_1)_{j+1}) \rightarrow \rightsquigarrow((\Pi_2)_j, (\Pi_2)_{j+1})$. But it follows from Sean's talk (c.f. Definition of $\text{Chain}(\Pi)$) together with Section IV in Zhongpeng's 2nd talk, that elementary operations are group-theoretic. Hence the operations are preserved by isomorphisms hence \mathcal{G}_ϕ respect elementary operations.

The End